IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

BILL KILLINGSWORTH,

Applicant,

v.

CIVIL NO. 09-845 JB/WDS

George Tapia, et al.,

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

THIS MATTER comes before the court on Applicant's Motion For the Appointment of

Counsel. (Document #10) 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(1) provides that the court may request an attorney

to represent any person unable to afford counsel. The Court has broad discretion to appoint counsel

for indigents under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), and its denial of counsel will not be overturned unless it

would result in fundamental unfairness impinging on due process rights. Long v. Shillinger, 927 F.2d

525, 527 (10th Cir. 1991). In determining whether to appoint counsel, the district court should

consider a variety of factors, including the merits of the litigant's claims, the nature of the factual

issues raised in the claims, the litigant's ability to present his claims, and the complexity of the legal

issues raised by the claims. *Id*.

The Court has considered the factors noted above, and sees no basis for the appointment of

counsel at this time. Accordingly, the Court orders Applicant's Motion For Appointment of Counsel

(Document #10) denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

W. DANIEL SCHNEIDER United States Magistrate Judge

Waysi